

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,323	09/18/2003	David J. Payne	08049.0933	6243	
22852 FINNEGAN 1	7590 06/23/200 HENDERSON FARAE	8 BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LLP		JOSEPH, TONYA S			
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

I	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
l	10/665,323	PAYNE ET AL.		
Ī	Examiner	Art Unit		
ı	TONYA JOSEPH	3628		

		TONYA JOSEPH	3628					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REI	PLY FILED 22 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
apı apı for	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places t application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
have been under 37 set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date inflied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
filir	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ig the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
(a) (b)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leren raise new issues that would require further cone. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloon) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);					
	appeal; and/or			ie issues ioi				
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
ho	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) w the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
	im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to:							
	im(s) rejected: 1, 4-13, 16-25, 28-37 and 40-56.							
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration: /IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and son tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
1. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. 🔲 O								

/JOHN W HAYES/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628

Tonya Joseph

Examiner Art Unit: 3628 Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Objection to the specification and 112 first paragraph-(new matter) rejection.

Continuation of 11. Applicant argues that claim 1 does not repeat the address correction process for any subsequent mail pieces. Examiner disagrees. As is consistent with Applicant's para. 26, the rejection asserts that comparing a second instance of a previously resolved and stored address is merely a repetitive step of verifying the same address, a step taught by Allen. Allen teaches comparing the incorrect address (see Col. 15 lines 63-88 and Col. 16 lines 1-3). Applicant further asserts that the "receiving" and "comparing" steps ultimately lead to a "determination" that allows for the outputting of the correct address. Allen also teaches these steps; (see the Final Office Action" pg. 4). Although Allen lacks an explicit teaching of a "second instance", the methods are the same in that a previously solved and stored address is relied upon to remedy any subsequent occurences of the incorrect address. Accordingly, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and the reliection stated above.